UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,463	04/21/2004	Kyoung-Ho Kang	61610139US	5334
	7590 04/21/200 <b>ASSOCIATES</b> , PLC	EXAMINER		
8500 LEESBUI		PERVAN, MICHAEL		
SUITE 7500 VIENNA, VA 2	22182		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENT@PARK-LAW.COM

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/828,463	KANG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael Pervan	2629				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ja</u>	nnuary 2008					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
′=	/ <del></del>						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
		pante Quayie, 1000 0.2. 1.1, 10					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	on Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/23/07.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	te				

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al (US 6,262,699) in view of Awamoto et al (US 6,525,486) in further view of Kuwahara et al (US 6,496,164).

In regards to claim 1, Suzuki discloses a driver for driving a plasma display panel (col. 4, lines 54-56), wherein the plasma display panel includes a plurality of address electrodes (Fig. 3), a plurality of X electrodes (Fig. 3) and a plurality of Y electrodes (Fig. 3), said driver comprising:

an address driver (Fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 32-36); an X driver (Fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 11-14); and a Y driver (Fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 5-11),

wherein the plurality of X electrodes and the plurality of Y electrodes are arranged alternately next to each other to form XY electrode pairs and substantially perpendicular to the plurality of address electrodes (Fig. 3; as can be seen from the drawing the X and Y electrodes alternate and form XY electrode pairs which are perpendicular to the plurality of address electrodes),

Art Unit: 2629

wherein the XY electrode pairs are divided into a plurality of XY electrode pair groups (Fig. 3; as can be seen from the drawing the XY electrode pairs are divided into a plurality of XY electrode groups),

Page 3

at least one of the X driver and the Y driver comprises a plurality of driving circuits, each driving circuit corresponding to one of the plurality of XY electrode pair groups (Fig. 3; as can be seen from the drawing the Y driver comprises a plurality of driving circuits).

Suzuki does not disclose driving circuits commonly connected to a reset circuit.

Awamoto discloses driving circuits commonly connected to a reset circuit (Fig. 7 and col. 7, line 62-col. 8, line 7; driving circuits (scan drivers 781) are commonly connected to a reset circuit (reset voltage circuit 782, 783)).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki with the teachings of Awamoto, driving circuits commonly connected to a reset circuit, because it would ensure that the reset is applied to all of the electrodes at the same time.

Suzuki and Awamoto do not disclose in a mixed address-display period, a first XY electrode pair group is addressed and sustain-discharged by a first driving circuit before a second XY electrode pair group is addressed by a second driving circuit.

Kuwahara discloses in a mixed address-display period, a first XY electrode pair group (odd electrodes) is addressed and sustain-discharged by a first driving circuit (Odd X (26) and Y (24) Sustain Circuits) before a second XY electrode pair group (even electrodes) is addressed by a second driving circuit (Even X (27) and Y (25) Sustain

Circuits) (Figs. 6 and 7; as can be seen from the drawings, the odd electrodes are addressed and sustained before the even electrodes are addressed and sustained).

Page 4

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki and Awamoto with the teachings of Kuwahara, odd electrodes are addressed and sustained before even electrodes are addressed and sustained, because it helps to prevent burn in.

In regards to claim 2, Suzuki discloses the driver of claim 1, wherein the plurality of driving circuits operate independently to perform an addressing operation and a display sustain discharge operation alternately (Figs. 4C-I; as can be seen from the drawings electrodes Y<sub>1</sub>-Y<sub>n</sub> are independent and alternate addressing and sustain) and to apply a voltage for display-sustain discharge only to an XY electrode pair group that has already been addressed (Figs. 4C-4I; as can be seen from the drawings the sustain voltage is applied after the addressing period).

In regards to claim 3, Suzuki discloses the driver of claim 1, wherein each of the plurality of driving circuits of the Y driver comprises:

a scan circuit (a circuit which provides a scan pulse) that sequentially applies a scan pulse to the plurality of Y electrodes for addressing (Fig. 4 and col. 5, lines 48-65; the Y drivers provide a scan pulse, therefore a scan circuit must be present); and

a sustain circuit (a circuit which provides a sustain pulse) that simultaneously applies periodical display-sustain pulses of the alternating current voltage to the plurality of Y electrodes (Fig. 4 and col. 6, lines 51-58; the Y driver provides a sustain pulse, therefore a sustain circuit must be present).

In regards to claim 8, Suzuki does not disclose the driver of claim 3, wherein the reset circuit is arranged in the Y driver and performs a reset operation for having a state of charges in every display cell uniform.

Awamoto discloses the reset circuit is arranged in the Y driver and performs a reset operation for having a state of charges in every display cell uniform (Fig. 7 and col. 7, line 62-col. 8, line 7; the reset circuit (reset voltage circuit 782, 783) is arranged in the Y driver).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki with the teachings of Awamoto, reset circuit arranged in the Y driver and performs a reset operation, because by having all of the components, reset circuit and driving circuits, in one single component, it makes manufacturing and repairs easier since there is one component instead of multiple.

In regards to claim 9, Suzuki discloses the driver of claim 8, wherein the X driver comprises a single reset circuit (a circuit which provides a reset pulse) that operates together with the reset circuit of the Y driver (col. 5, lines 15-26; the X driver provides a reset pulse, therefore there must be a reset circuit present).

Art Unit: 2629

In regards to claim 10, Suzuki discloses the driver of claim 8, wherein each of the plurality of driving circuits of the X driver comprises a sustain circuit (a circuit which provides a sustain pulse) which simultaneously applies periodical display-sustain pulses of the alternating current voltage to the X electrode lines (Fig. 4 and col. 6, lines 51-58; the X driver provides a sustain pulse, therefore a sustain circuit must be present).

3. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al in view of Awamoto et al in further view of Lee et al (US 2003/0057858).

In regards to claim 4, Suzuki and Awamoto disclose the driver of claim 3, wherein the scan circuit comprises:

a scan driving circuit (a circuit that provides a scan pulse) (Fig. 4 and col. 5, lines 48-65; the Y drivers provide a scan pulse, therefore a scan circuit must be present).

Suzuki and Awamoto do not disclose a switching output circuit.

Lee discloses a switching output circuit (Fig. 7).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki and Awamoto with the teachings of Lee, a switching output circuit, to improve display performance (paragraph 18).

In regards to claim 5, Suzuki and Awamoto do not disclose the driver of claim 4, wherein the switching output circuit comprises:

an upper transistor (Fig. 7; YU<sub>1</sub>-YU<sub>n</sub>);

a lower transistor (Fig. 7; YL<sub>1</sub>-YL<sub>n</sub>); and

a common output line for the upper transistor and the lower transistor (Fig. 7; Y<sub>1</sub>- $Y_n$ ),

Page 7

wherein the common output line is coupled to one of the plurality of Y electrodes (Fig. 7;  $Y_1-Y_n$ ).

Lee discloses wherein the switching output circuit comprises an upper transistor, a lower transistor and a common output line for the upper transistor and the lower transistor, wherein the common output line is coupled to one of the plurality of Y electrodes.

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki and Awamoto with the teachings of Lee, wherein the switching output circuit comprises an upper transistor, a lower transistor and a common output line for the upper transistor and the lower transistor, wherein the common output line is coupled to one of the plurality of Y electrodes, to improve display performance (paragraph 18).

In regards to claim 6, Suzuki and Awamoto do not disclose the driver of claim 5, wherein the scan circuit is coupled to an upper common power line of the upper transistor and to a lower common power line of the lower transistor to apply a scan voltage to one of the plurality of Y electrodes that is scanned during the mixed addressdisplay period and to apply a scan bias voltage to one of the plurality of Y electrodes that is not scanned during the mixed address-display period.

Lee discloses the driver of claim 5, wherein the scan circuit is coupled to an upper common power line of the upper transistor and to a lower common power line of Art Unit: 2629

the lower transistor (Fig. 7) to apply a scan voltage to one of the plurality of Y electrodes that is scanned during an addressing period (Fig. 8) and to apply a scan bias voltage to one of the plurality of Y electrodes that is not scanned during the addressing period (Fig. 8; Sy1 applies a voltage after the scan period).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki and Awamoto with the teachings of Lee, wherein the scan circuit is coupled to an upper common power line of the upper transistor and to a lower common power line of the lower transistor to apply a scan voltage to one of the plurality of Y electrodes that is scanned during an addressing period and to apply a scan bias voltage to one of the plurality of Y electrodes that is not scanned during the addressing period, to improve display performance (paragraph 18).

In regards to claim 7, Suzuki and Awamoto do not disclose the driver of claim 6, wherein an output of the sustain circuit is applied to one of the upper common power line and the lower common power line via the scan driving circuit.

Lee discloses the driver of claim 6, wherein an output of the sustain circuit is applied to one of the upper common power line and the lower common power line via the scan driving circuit (Fig. 7; as can be seen from the drawing the sustain circuit (SP<sub>y</sub> is connected to the common power line of the upper transistor via the scan circuit).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki and

Awamoto with the teachings of Lee, wherein an output of the sustain circuit is applied to

Art Unit: 2629

one of the upper common power line and the lower common power line via the scan driving circuit, to improve display performance (paragraph 18).

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al in view of Awamoto et al in further view of Hashimoto et al (US 6,091,380).

In regards to claim 11, Suzuki and Awamoto do not disclose the driver of claim 2, wherein each of the plurality of driving circuits of the Y driver drives Y electrodes of a corresponding XY electrode pair group, each of the plurality of driving circuits of the X driver drives X electrodes of a corresponding XY electrode pair group, wherein an XY electrode pair group including the plurality of Y electrodes driven by one among the plurality of driving circuits of the Y driver is not the same as an XY electrode pair group including the plurality of the X electrodes driven by one among the plurality of driving circuits of the X driver.

Hashimoto discloses the driver of claim 2, wherein each of the plurality of driving circuits of the Y driver drives Y electrodes of a corresponding XY electrode pair group (Fig. 2; as can be seen from the drawing, scanning circuit 13(1)-13(n) drive corresponding electrodes), each of the plurality of driving circuits of the X driver drives X electrodes of a corresponding XY electrode pair group (Fig. 2, as can be seen from the drawing, Y drivers 16a and 16b driver corresponding electrodes), wherein an XY electrode pair group including the plurality of Y electrodes driven by one among the plurality of driving circuits of the Y driver is not the same as an XY electrode pair group including the plurality of the X electrodes driven by one among the plurality of driving

Art Unit: 2629

circuits of the X driver (Fig. 2; as can be seen from the drawing, the scanning circuit 13(1) has a different X electrode driver than scanning circuit 13(n)).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki and

Awamoto with the teachings of Hashimoto, XY pair group of one Y driver has a different

X driver than the other Y driver, because it would reduce power consumption because
the drivers are smaller due to having more than one to drive the electrodes.

5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al in view of Suzuki et al in view of Awamoto et al in further view of Kuwahara et al.

In regards to claim 12, Lee discloses a plasma display panel device (Fig. 5 and paragraph 15), comprising:

- a plasma display panel (Fig. 5 and paragraph 15);
- a video processor (Fig. 5 and paragraph 15);
- a logic controller (Fig. 5 and paragraph 15);
- an X driver that controls a plurality of X electrodes (Fig. 5 and paragraph 15);
- a Y driver that controls a plurality of Y electrodes (Fig. 5 and paragraph 15); and

an address driver that controls a plurality of address electrodes (Fig. 5 and

paragraph 15),

Lee does not disclose wherein the plurality of Y electrodes and the plurality of X electrodes are arranged alternately next to each other forming XY electrode pairs, wherein the XY electrode pairs are divided into a plurality of XY electrode pair groups

and at least one of the X driver and the Y driver comprises a plurality of driving circuits corresponding to the plurality of XY electrode pair groups.

Suzuki discloses wherein the plurality of Y electrodes and the plurality of X electrodes are arranged alternately next to each other to form XY electrode pairs (Fig. 3; as can be seen from the drawing the X and Y electrodes alternate and form XY electrode pairs), wherein the XY electrode pairs are divided into a plurality of XY electrode pair groups (Fig. 3; as can be seen from the drawing the XY electrode pairs are divided into a plurality of XY electrode groups) and at least one of the X driver and the Y driver comprises a plurality of driving circuits, each driving circuit corresponding to one of the plurality of XY electrode pair groups (Fig. 3; as can be seen from the drawing the Y driver comprises a plurality of driving circuits).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Lee with the teachings of Suzuki, at least one of the X driver and the Y driver comprises a plurality of driving circuits corresponding to the plurality of XY electrode pair groups, because it can reduce an address write cycle and also realize a stable highly-fine/high-quality display without erroneous discharge (col. 3, lines 46-48).

Lee and Suzuki do not disclose driving circuits commonly connected to a reset circuit.

Awamoto discloses driving circuits commonly connected to a reset circuit (Fig. 8 and col. 7, lines 15-26; driving circuits (scan drivers 781) are commonly connected to a reset circuit (reset voltage circuit 782, 783)).

Art Unit: 2629

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Lee and Suzuki, with the teachings of Awamoto, driving circuits commonly connected to a reset circuit, because it would ensure that the reset is applied to all of the electrodes at the same time.

Lee, Suzuki and Awamoto do not disclose in a mixed address-display period, a first XY electrode pair group is addressed and sustain-discharged by a first driving circuit before a second XY electrode pair group is addressed by a second driving circuit.

Kuwahara discloses in a mixed address-display period, a first XY electrode pair group (odd electrodes) is addressed and sustain-discharged by a first driving circuit (Odd X (26) and Y (24) Sustain Circuits) before a second XY electrode pair group (even electrodes) is addressed by a second driving circuit (Even X (27) and Y (25) Sustain Circuits) (Figs. 6 and 7; as can be seen from the drawings, the odd electrodes are addressed and sustained before the even electrodes are addressed and sustained).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Lee, Suzuki and Awamoto with the teachings of Kuwahara, odd electrodes are addressed and sustained before even electrodes are addressed and sustained, because it helps to prevent burn in.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2629

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pervan whose telephone number is (571) 272-0910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday between 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MVP

Apr. 7, 2008

/Amr Awad/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629